



**FINAL REPORT FOR PERIOD JAN-DEC 2001**  
**AFOSR GRANT: F49620-96-1-0092**

F49620-96-1-0092



Philip D. Whitefield and Donald E. Hagen  
University of Missouri

20020614 175

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

Air Force Research Laboratory  
Air Force Office of Scientific Research  
Arlington, Virginia

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering existing data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to provide any information if it does not have a valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

AFRL-SR-AR-TR-02-

0173

<b>1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)</b> 01-02-02		<b>2. REPORT TYPE</b> FINAL		<b>3. DATES COVERED (from - to)</b> JAN DEC 2001	
<b>4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE</b> FINAL REPORT FOR PERIOD JAN-DEC 2001 AFOSR GRANT: F49620-96-1-0092				<b>5a. CONTRACT NUMBER</b>	
				<b>5b. GRANT NUMBER</b> F49620-96-1-0092	
<b>6. AUTHOR(S)</b> Philp D. Whitefield Donald E. Hagen				<b>5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER</b> 61102F	
				<b>5d. PROJECT NUMBER</b> 2303	
<b>7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b> UMR CASL G-7 Norwood Hall UMR Rolla, MO 65401				<b>5e. TASK NUMBER</b> EX	
				<b>5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER</b>	
<b>9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b> AFOSR				<b>8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER</b>	
				<b>10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)</b>	
<b>12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT</b> N/A				<b>11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)</b>	
<b>13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</b> N/A					
<b>14. ABSTRACT</b> This report describes work performed under AFOSR contract F49620-96-1-0092 during the calendar year 2001. The major effort during this period has been data analysis, interpretation and publication of results acquired in this research program. To date there have been two presentations at peer-reviewed symposia and one publication submitted to peer-reviewed journals. Two additional papers are under preparation for submission to the journals Aerosol Science and Technology and the Journal of Geophysical Research. The publications from this effort are attached as appendices and represent the progress achieved during the period of performance.					
<b>15. SUBJECT TERMS</b>					
<b>16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:</b>			<b>17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT</b>	<b>18. NUMBER OF PAGES</b>	<b>19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON</b> Philip D. Whitefield
<b>a. REPORT</b>	<b>b. ABSTRACT</b>	<b>c. THIS PAGE</b>			<b>19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)</b> 573-341-4340

## PROJECT SUMMARY

In-situ measurements of the total aerosol particle concentration (370nm to 4000nm) and differential particle size distribution (8nm to 4000nm) were made in the upper tropospheric/ lower stratospheric sections of several rocket exhaust plumes. The rockets that created these exhaust plumes included the Atlas IIAS, Delta II, Athena II, SST and Titan . The measurements were made using Differential Mobility Analysis (DMA) and Laser Particle Counting (LPC) techniques. The research aircraft used to make the stratospheric plume incursions was a WB-57F. The aerosol sampling instrument that was flown on the WB-57F was called the ACCENT Mobile Aerosol Sampling System (ACCENTMASS) and combined a Grab Tank Sampling (GTS) system and a Real-time Particle Measurement System (RPM). The former was used in conjugation with Differential Mobility Analysis (DMA) after the WB-57F had landed, whilst the latter used Laser Particle Counters (LPC) to collect data continuously throughout the flight. The data presented in this thesis were measured during the Atmospheric Chemistry of Combustion Emissions Near to the Tropopause (ACCENT) mission.

The total aerosol particle concentration data (370nm to 4000nm) were measured using the Real-time Particle Measurement System (RPM), , as temporal total concentration profiles for the whole aerosol particles and the non-volatile aerosol particles (available only for the Athena II). The total aerosol particle concentration falls from between  $750 \pm 100$  particles/cm<sup>3</sup> to between  $350 \pm 100$  particles/cm<sup>3</sup> over 2000 seconds, which corresponds to an approximate plume dispersion rate of 0.175 to 0.200 particles/(cm<sup>3</sup>·s)

The frequency of the total aerosol particle concentration measurement (370nm to 4000nm) was 1.67 Hz, which was high enough to reveal detailed structure in the plume. Furthermore, the signal to noise ratio in the plume was at least 100:1 in the total aerosol particle concentration measurements, which allowed the WB-57F Flight Engineer to rely on the RPM system to detect the edge of the plume when making an incursion.

The number based differential particle size distributions ( $dN/dx$ ) were measured between  $8nm$  and  $4000nm$  using Differential Mobility Analysis (DMA) ( $8nm$  to  $250nm$ ) and Laser Particle Counting techniques ( $370nm$  to  $4000nm$ ). The size distributions were trimodal. The concentration maxima of Mode 1 fell below the detection window of the ACCENTMASS ( $<8nm$ ). The concentration maxima of Modes 2 and 3 are clearly observed. The diameters of maximum concentration of Modes 1, 2 and 3 were  $<10nm$ ,  $50nm$  to  $60nm$ , and  $915nm$  to  $983nm$  respectively. These results compare to within 50 percent in most cases with data collected previously by other investigators. Some investigators claim the presence of a mode above  $2500nm$ . No evidence of such a mode was seen in the Atlas IIAS, Delta II or Athena II data, but the ACCENTMASS is not designed to measure aerosol containing particles larger than  $4000nm$ .

The diameters at which these three modes peaked in concentration were not statistically different between the rockets measured during the ACCENT missions, indicating that from the UMR data no significant relationship can be seen between the exhaust particle size in the range of motor sizes or types used by these rockets. However, the average diameter of maximum differential concentration in Mode 2 may increase as the mass flow from the Solid Rocket Motor (SRM) contributes more greatly to the total mass flow from a rocket that contains either both kerosene-liquid oxygen (RP/LOx) and SRMs (Atlas IIAS and Delta II), or just an SRM (Athena II). Such a relationship would imply that the SRM produces larger Mode 2 particles ( $\sim 60nm$ ) than the RP/LOx motor ( $\sim 50nm$ ). The size of the SRM used by each rocket increases in the following order: Atlas IIAS < Delta II < Athena II (Lockheed Martin Website, 2002). Also, as the size of the SRM increases, the diameter of maximum differential concentration may also increase. The analyses performed on the diameters of maximum concentration imply, but do not state conclusively that fuel type and SRM motor size both affect the diameter of maximum concentration in Mode 2.

The ratio between average maximum concentrations of Modes 2 and 3 and the ratio of mass flows from RP/LOx and SRM motors were related. The mathematical expression that describes this relationship is  $y = 799.79e^{-1.1539x}$ . The relationship

indicates that the SRM motors generate more Mode 2 particles than the RP/LOx motors. In the Atlas IIAS, the Delta II and the Athena II, no significant difference was seen in the quantity of Mode 3 particles generated by either motor type.

Good agreement exists between the particle size distributions measured with the GTS and RPM systems. The agreement was measured as the magnitude of the discontinuity where the particle size distributions were coupled. The discontinuity magnitude was referenced to the difference between the concentration maxima of Modes 2 and 3. The average magnitude of the discontinuity is  $1.12 \pm 1.06$  percent of the difference between the concentration maxima of Modes 2 and 3. Such good agreement justifies the coupling of the  $dN/dx$  particle size distributions produced by the DMA and LPC techniques.

Mode 3 (370nm to 4000nm) whole aerosol particle  $dN/dx$  size distribution was not seen to vary as the WB-57F traversed the Athena II plume during plume incursions 1, 4 and 6. As afterburning and other plume processes are very turbulent, by the time the WB-57F has first entered the plume any regional variation in the particle size distribution may have disappeared.

The differences between the integrals of the Mode 3 whole aerosol and non-volatile aerosol volume based differential particle size distributions ( $dV/dx$ ) were calculated for every plume incursion in the Athena II flight. The average of these differences was interpreted as the average volume of a volatile component made of ice, nitric acid trihydrate and various chlorine species that evaporated from the particle surface in the thermal discriminator. The average volume of volatile material was calculated to be  $43 \pm 15$  percent of the whole aerosol particle volume. The apparent decrease in average diameter of maximum differential concentration from 983nm to 916nm as the aerosol passes through the discriminator supports the presence of a volatile component in the aerosol particles. However, this apparent reduction in particle diameter is not statistically significant when the uncertainty in the Laser Particle Counter (LPC) sizing is considered, and may be partially caused by changes in the refractive index of the particle. The data suggest that the refractive index of the alumina aerosol particles is modified when they are covered by this surface layer of volatile material. The resulting

change in the scattering properties that occurs when the particle passes through the thermal discriminator may cause the particle to be over- or under-sized by LPC1 (the whole aerosol LPC). The error in sizing that is caused by the change of particle refractive index when the volatile surface layer is gained, influences the calculation of the volume of volatile component. Therefore the statistical significance and quantity of the volume of the volatile component needs to be verified by future work.

The aerosol particle size distributions measured during this research project support the conclusions made by Jackman, *et al.*, (1998) and Danilin, *et al.*, (2001), which state that the effect of rocket exhaust aerosol on regional and global stratospheric ozone concentrations will be small.